

Report of the Legislative Task Force on Dyslexia to the 2019 Kansas Legislature

CHAIRPERSON: Jim Porter

VICE-CHAIRPERSON: Representative Brenda Dietrich

LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS: Senators Bruce Givens and Ty Masterson

NON-LEGISLATIVE MEMBERS: Jennifer Bettles, Sarah Brinkley, Jaime Callaghan, Tally Fleming, David Hurford, Jennifer Knight, Alisa Matteoni, Christina Middleton, Jeanine Phillips, Jeri Powers, Angie Schreiber, and Sonja Watkins

EX OFFICIO MEMBERS: Mike Burgess, Laura Jurgensen, and Lori McMillan

CHARGE

Pursuant to 2018 Sub. for HB 2602, the Task Force is to advise and make recommendations to the Governor, the Legislature, and the State Board of Education regarding matters concerning the use of evidence-based practices for students with dyslexia. Specifically, the bill provides the Task Force's recommendations and resource materials shall:

- Research and recommend evidence-based reading practices to address dyslexia or characteristics of dyslexia for use by schools;
- Research and recommend high-quality pre-service and in-service professional development activities to address reading difficulties like dyslexia, including identification of dyslexia and effective reading interventions to be used in schools and within degree programs, such as education, reading, special education, speech-language pathology, and psychology;
- Study and examine current state and federal laws and rules and regulations, and the implementation of such laws and rules and regulations that affect students with dyslexia; and
- Identify valid and reliable screening and evaluation assessments and protocols that can be used and the appropriate personnel to administer such assessments in order to identify children with reading difficulties, such as dyslexia or the characteristics of dyslexia as part of an ongoing reading progress monitoring system, multi-tiered system of supports, and Child Find special education eligibility for students.

This page intentionally left blank.

Legislative Task Force on Dyslexia

REPORT

Conclusions and Recommendations

The Task Force largely organized its recommendations around the structure of its subcommittees on Pre-service and In-service Professional Development, Screening and Evaluation Process, and Evidence-based Reading Practices. The Subcommittee on Current State and Federal Law recommended the Task Force target all recommendations to the appropriate audience and request necessary resources for the implementation of all Task Force recommendations.

Pre-service:

- The Kansas State Board of Education (KSBE) should modify the Educator Preparation Program Standards to include the International Dyslexia Association's (IDA) Knowledge and Practice Standards for Teachers of Reading;
- KSBE should require candidates for K-6 teaching licenses, English Language Arts endorsements, reading specialist teaching licenses, and special education teaching licenses to pass an examination of their knowledge of the science of reading. KSBE should study and approve a test or multiple tests to satisfy this requirement; and
- The Legislature should provide funding to train college of education professors who teach reading to become cognizant in the science of reading. Training could include conference participation, educational experiences, webinars, and relevant education materials.

Professional Learning:

- KSBE should require school systems to provide evidence-based and consistent professional development opportunities consisting of training regarding the nature of dyslexia, an introduction in procedures to identify students who are struggling in reading, and an introduction to intervention strategies and procedures. The content of the professional development should include those areas listed in Appendix A;
- KSBE should encourage colleges of education in Kansas to develop a course of study with a specialization in dyslexia and dyslexia-like characteristics. This course should be geared toward a Science of Reading endorsement (English for Speakers of Other Languages endorsement could be used as a model for the structure of this endorsement). This course of study should align with the IDA Knowledge and Practice Standards. This course of study should include practica experiences working with students with dyslexia or characteristics of dyslexia with appropriate supervision and leadership development skills such that the person who graduates with this endorsement can train other classroom teachers and reading specialists within their school district. The training for classroom teachers should be consistent with the IDA document, *Dyslexia in the Classroom: What Every Teacher Needs to Know* (Appendix B); and
- The Legislature should provide funding for school districts to train appropriate staff on dyslexia and recognizing dyslexia and the use of screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring tools that are sensitive to the characteristics of dyslexia.

Screening and Evaluation Process:

- KSBE should require every accredited school district to screen and identify students at risk of dyslexia or demonstrating the characteristics of dyslexia;
- KSBE should amend the Kansas Education Systems Accreditation model to require districts to implement a rigorous tiered system of supports subject to external review;
- KSBE should develop and provide to school districts criteria for vetting and approving tools and materials for screening and assessing students for characteristics of dyslexia; and
- The Legislature should provide additional funding to districts for the purpose of acquiring screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring tools that are sensitive to the characteristics of dyslexia. KSBE should provide recommendations to districts on appropriate screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring tools.

Evidence-based Reading Practices:

- KSBE should require each accredited school district to utilize structured literacy as the evidence-based approach to teaching literacy skills to all students and promote early intervention for students with characteristics of dyslexia. KSBE should utilize the “Components of Structured Literacy Checklist” from *The New Jersey Dyslexia Handbook* (Appendix C) to evaluate structured literacy programs for accreditation purposes. KSBE should ensure school districts are made aware of information concerning structured literacy training and information currently available from the Kansas Technical Assistance System Network (Appendix D);
- KSBE should direct the creation of a dyslexia handbook for use by schools in Kansas. The creation of the handbook should involve input from a broad array of stakeholders; and
- KSBE should identify a dyslexia coordinator within the Kansas State Department of Education.

Other:

- **Task Force continuation.** The Legislature should reappoint the Legislative Task Force on Dyslexia (Task Force) to meet once per year for three years to monitor progress of implementation of the recommendations. The reappointed Task Force should include the same members and also include the consulting conferees participating in the November 28, 2018, and January 10, 2019, meetings of the Task Force; and
- **Dyslexia definition.** The Task Force concluded the definition of “dyslexia” used by schools and policymakers should be the definition provided by the IDA, which states dyslexia is “a specific learning disability that is neurobiological in origin. It is characterized by difficulties with accurate and/or fluent word recognition and by poor spelling and decoding abilities. These difficulties typically result from a deficit in the phonological component of language that is often unexpected in relation to other cognitive abilities and the provision of effective classroom instruction. Secondary consequences may include problems in reading comprehension and reduced reading experience that can impede growth of vocabulary and background knowledge.”

Proposed Legislation: None

BACKGROUND

The Task Force was created by 2018 Sub. for HB 2602, codified at KSA 72-8193, to advise and make recommendations to the Governor, Legislature, and Kansas State Board of Education (KSBE) on or before January 30, 2019, regarding matters concerning the use of evidence-based practices for students with dyslexia. The Task Force was specifically required to:

- Research and recommend evidence-based reading practices to address dyslexia or characteristics of dyslexia for use by schools;
- Research and recommend high quality pre-service and in-service professional development activities to address reading difficulties like dyslexia, including identification of dyslexia and effective reading interventions to be used in schools and applicable degree programs;
- Study and examine state and federal law, rules and regulations, and the implementation of such laws and rules and regulations that affect students with dyslexia; and
- Identify valid and reliable screening and evaluation assessments and protocols that can be used, as well as the appropriate personnel to administer such assessments, in order to identify children with reading difficulties, such as dyslexia or the characteristics of dyslexia.

The Task Force is composed of the following 16 voting members:

- One Senate member and one elementary school classroom teacher appointed jointly by the chairperson and ranking minority member of the Senate Committee on Education;
- One House member and one elementary school classroom teacher appointed jointly

by the chairperson and ranking minority member of the House Committee on Education;

- One member from the KSBE appointed by the KSBE, who will serve as the Task Force chairperson;
- One professor employed by a state educational institution with specialized expertise in effective evidence-based reading practices for dyslexia appointed by the President of the Kansas Board of Regents;
- One public school principal appointed by the United School Administrators of Kansas;
- Four parents of children with a diagnosis of dyslexia to be individually appointed by Keys for Networking, Inc.; Families Together, Inc.; Decoding Dyslexia Johnson County; and the International Dyslexia Association Kansas Missouri Branch, who should be appointed with an effort to provide statewide representation, if possible;
- One member appointed by the Kansas Association of Special Education Administrators;
- One elementary school building-level reading specialist appointed by the KSBE;
- One elementary school special education teacher appointed by the KSBE;
- One licensed psychologist or speech-language pathologist who diagnoses dyslexia as a part of such person's practice appointed by the Task Force chairperson; and
- One member identified as a non-profit service provider for children diagnosed

with dyslexia appointed by the chairperson of the Task Force.

The Task Force also includes the following three ex officio, non-voting members:

- One Kansas State Department of Education (KSDE) licensed attorney appointed by the KSDE;
- One licensed attorney familiar with dyslexia appointed jointly by the chairpersons of the House Committee on Education and Senate Committee on Education; and
- One member appointed by the Disability Rights Center of Kansas.

The statute allowed the Task Force to meet up to six times and meet at any time and at any place within Kansas on the call of the Chairperson and, if approved by the Legislative Coordinating Council, members would be paid for expenses, mileage, and subsistence.

The Task Force chairperson was required to call an organizational meeting of the Task Force on or before July 15, 2018.

Further information concerning the Task Force, including the minutes of its meetings, documents presented to the Task Force, and Subcommittee reports, may be found at http://www.kslegislature.org/li_2018/b2017_18/committees/ctte_tf_dyslexia_1/documents/.

COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

The Task Force met five times during the 2018 Interim: July 12, 2018; September 13, 2018; November 9, 2018; November 28, 2018; and January 10, 2019. In accordance with its statutory charge, the Task Force's work focused on matters concerning the use of evidence-based practices for students with dyslexia.

July 12, 2018

The Task Force Chairperson, appointed by the

KSBE, accepted nominations from the body for a vice-chairperson as required by statute. The body elected Representative Dietrich. The Chairperson asked Task Force members to describe the basis for their appointment to the Task Force and their own experiences with dyslexia.

Upon receiving input from the Task Force members, the Chairperson created four subcommittees and assigned members to the subcommittees. Subcommittees included Current State and Federal Law, Evidence-based Reading Practices, Pre-service and In-service Professional Development, and Screening and Evaluation Process. Chairpersons were appointed for the subcommittees and the subcommittees were instructed to meet upon the call of their chairpersons and make recommendations to the Task Force in their respective areas.

September 13, 2018

The Task Force received a presentation from Dr. David Hurford on the nature of dyslexia and the science of reading. A representative of KSDE presented the agency's recommendations in reference to dyslexia and information on the agency's comprehensive strategy for teaching reading and intervening when reading struggles emerge.

The Task Force also received status updates and initial draft recommendations from the four subcommittees created at the July 12 meeting of the Task Force.

November 9, 2018

The Task Force met at the offices of the Kansas Association of School Boards to receive a presentation from Dr. Jack Fletcher, the Hugh Roy and Lillie Cranz Cullen Distinguished University Chair of Psychology at the University of Houston, on his own work studying dyslexia and the education of children with dyslexia. Dr. Fletcher stated the quality of core reading skills for all children must be the central focus, the brain's neural systems must be programmed in order to read, and explicit phonics (building from the part to the whole) is the answer. He further provided a review of the recommendations of the subcommittees of the Task Force and recommended specific changes to some of those

recommendations.

November 28, 2018

At the Task Force's fourth meeting, the Chairperson introduced individuals who participated in the Task Force's final two meetings to provide additional perspectives that were not included in the Task Force membership. The individuals included Dr. Barbara Bradley, representing colleges of education at Kansas Board of Regents universities; Lynette Cross, representing the Kansas Independent College Association; Deb Farr, representing the Kansas National Education Association; Heath Peine, representing Kansas Multi-Tiered System of Supports and Alignment; and Dr. Joan Robbins, a retired Special Education Director.

Mr. Peine, Assistant Superintendent of USD 353 Wellington, presented information on Kansas Multi-Tiered System of Supports and Alignment as administered by the Kansas Technical Assistance System Network. Mr. Peine presented information on how that system could be effective for serving students indicating characteristics of dyslexia.

The Task Force also received final recommendations from each subcommittee and discussed converting those recommendations into Task Force recommendations.

January 10, 2019

At the final meeting of the Task Force, the Chairperson presented a set of draft recommendations for the Task Force assembled by the Chairperson and the chairpersons of the subcommittees. The Task Force debated and amended those recommendations before unanimously approving the amended recommendations. The Task Force also unanimously approved a definition of dyslexia used by the International Dyslexia Association (IDA).

Subcommittee Activities

Current State and Federal Law

The Subcommittee on Current State and Federal Law met on August 15, September 11, October 4, October 11, October 25, and November

5 to examine and review current laws and consider the fit of recommendations of other subcommittees into the existing legal framework.

August 15. The Subcommittee members discussed their individual prior research in the area and requested Kansas Legislative Research Department (KLRD) staff update a 50-state survey on laws concerning education of students with dyslexia. The Subcommittee also discussed the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, the Kansas Act for Exceptional Children, and Kansas special education regulations.

September 11. The Subcommittee reviewed the updated 50-state survey provided by KLRD staff of laws concerning education of students with dyslexia. The Subcommittee also discussed possible future meeting topics.

October 4. The Subcommittee heard from representatives of KSDE concerning teacher licensure and teacher education program standards. The Subcommittee discussed possible changes to these standards to achieve recommendations of other subcommittees. The Subcommittee specifically noted careful consideration of the appropriate standards to adjust and which licensees should have standards adjusted must be given by the Task Force.

October 11. The Subcommittee heard from representatives of KSDE concerning various state and federal funding options for general and special education. The representatives informed the Subcommittee existing funding sources are currently fully allocated to other educational efforts and any new legislation would require new funding or stripping funding from other educational efforts. They also noted even using existing training resources, such as the Technical Assistance System Network, may require a new appropriation of state funds.

October 25. The Subcommittee met with representatives of teacher preparation programs at Kansas public and private institutions of higher education. The representatives expressed frustration they had not been included in the Task Force's work. They indicated a framework already exists into which improvements to teacher

preparation for dyslexia education could be placed. They further indicated much work is already being done to train teachers to educate students with dyslexia, but more could be done within the existing framework. The Subcommittee also reviewed the option of using the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic and Treatment component of Medicaid as an avenue for dyslexia screening and services.

November 5. The Subcommittee discussed its report to the Task Force.

Evidence-based Reading Practices

The Subcommittee on Evidence-based Reading Practices met on September 5 and October 5 to review the reading practices of other states and make recommendations for Kansas.

September 5. The Subcommittee discussed evidence-based practices adopted by other states, as well as possible recommendations to the Task Force.

October 5. The Subcommittee adopted tentative recommendations to the Task Force.

Pre-service and In-service Professional Development

The Subcommittee on Pre-service and In-service Professional Development met four times *via* an online program that allowed sharing of materials and interaction between the members. The dates of the meetings were July 27, August 13, August 27, and September 7.

The Subcommittee discussed what aspects of the knowledge base of the science of reading should be covered in pre-service training and what the ramifications of implementing potential suggestions would be for institutions of higher education, particularly for colleges of education and teacher preparation programs. The Subcommittee discovered some in-service teachers' lack of training at the pre-service level resulted in a continued lack of understanding of the science of reading and how to implement appropriate science-based identification and intervention strategies.

Screening and Evaluation Process

The Subcommittee on Screening and Evaluation Process met on August 21, October 24, and January 3 to consider screening and evaluation processes currently used by Kansas schools and possible alternatives.

August 21. The Subcommittee met and discussed various dyslexia screening tools and protocols, as well as possible recommendations to the Task Force.

October 24. The Subcommittee met and discussed the use of multi-tiered system of support for screening for dyslexia and adopted tentative recommendations to the Task Force.

January 3. The Subcommittee met and revised its recommendations to the Task Force.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Prior to the January 10, 2019, meeting of the Task Force, the Chairperson and the chairpersons of the Subcommittees met and formulated draft recommendations for the Task Force. The Task Force modified those recommendations at the January 10 meeting and adopted the following modified recommendations, largely organized around the structure of the subcommittees.

Pre-service

KSBE should modify the Educator Preparation Program Standards to include the IDA's Knowledge and Practice Standards for Teachers of Reading.

KSBE should require candidates for K-6 teaching licenses, English Language Arts endorsements, reading specialist teaching licenses, and special education teaching licenses to pass an examination of their knowledge of the science of reading. KSBE should study and approve a test or multiple tests to satisfy this requirement.

The Legislature should provide funding to train college of education professors who teach reading to become cognizant in the science of reading. Training could include conference participation, educational experiences, webinars,

and relevant education materials.

Professional Learning

KSBE should require school systems to provide evidence-based and consistent professional development opportunities consisting of training regarding the nature of dyslexia, an introduction in procedures to identify students who are struggling in reading, and an introduction to intervention strategies and procedures. The content of the professional development should include those areas listed in Appendix A.

KSBE should encourage colleges of education in Kansas to develop a course of study with a specialization in dyslexia and dyslexia-like characteristics. This course should be geared toward a Science of Reading endorsement (English for Students of Other Languages endorsement could be used as a model for the structure of this endorsement). This course of study should align with the IDA Knowledge and Practice Standards. This course of study should include practica experiences working with students with dyslexia or characteristics of dyslexia with appropriate supervision and leadership development skills such that the person who graduates with this endorsement can train other classroom teachers and reading specialists within their school district. The training for classroom teachers should be consistent with the IDA document, *Dyslexia in the Classroom: What Every Teacher Needs to Know* (Appendix B).

The Legislature should provide funding for school districts to train appropriate staff on dyslexia and recognizing dyslexia and the use of screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring tools that are sensitive to the characteristics of dyslexia.

Screening and Evaluation Process

KSBE should require every accredited school district to screen and identify students at risk of dyslexia or demonstrating the characteristics of dyslexia.

KSBE should amend the Kansas Education Systems Accreditation model to require districts to implement a rigorous tiered system of supports subject to external review.

KSBE should develop and provide to school districts criteria for vetting and approving tools and materials for screening and assessing students for characteristics of dyslexia.

The Legislature should provide additional funding to districts for the purpose of acquiring screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring tools that are sensitive to the characteristics of dyslexia. KSBE should provide recommendations to districts on appropriate screening, diagnostic, and progress monitoring tools.

Evidence-based Reading Practices

KSBE should require each accredited school district to utilize structured literacy as the evidence-based approach to teaching literacy skills to all students and promote early intervention for students with characteristics of dyslexia. KSBE should utilize the “Components of Structured Literacy Checklist” from *The New Jersey Dyslexia Handbook* (Appendix C). For information concerning structured literacy training and information currently available from the Kansas Technical Assistance System Network (Appendix D).

KSBE should direct the creation of a dyslexia handbook for use by schools in Kansas. The creation of the handbook should involve input from a broad array of stakeholders.

KSBE should identify a dyslexia coordinator within the KSDE.

Other

Task Force continuation. The Legislature should reappoint the Task Force to meet once per year for three years to monitor progress of implementation of the recommendations. The reappointed Task Force should include the same members and also include the consulting conferees participating in the November 28, 2018, and January 10, 2019, meetings of the Task Force.

Dyslexia definition. The Task Force concluded the definition of “dyslexia” used by schools and policymakers should be the definition provided by the IDA, which states dyslexia is “a specific learning disability that is neurobiological in origin. It is characterized by difficulties with

accurate and/or fluent word recognition and by poor spelling and decoding abilities. These difficulties typically result from a deficit in the phonological component of language that is often unexpected in relation to other cognitive abilities

and the provision of effective classroom instruction. Secondary consequences may include problems in reading comprehension and reduced reading experience that can impede growth of vocabulary and background knowledge.”

Table of Contents

Appendix A – Professional Learning Content Recommendations

Appendix B – Dyslexia in the Classroom: What Every Teacher Needs to Know,
International Dyslexia Association

Appendix C – Components of Structured Literacy Checklist, The New Jersey Dyslexia
Handbook

Appendix D – Kansas Technical Assistance System Network – Kansas LETRS Training
Guide

Appendix A

Professional Learning Content

- a. Overview of science and how science works to solve problems and create solutions, including the scientific method.
- b. Information concerning the meaning of the terms research-based and science-based and how to identify programs that are science-based.
- c. Definition of dyslexia.
- d. Characteristics of dyslexia.
- e. Potential outcomes if students are not taught explicitly to become competent readers, including results of additional socio-emotional difficulties.
- f. Information regarding writing systems, including the differences between transparent and opaque writing systems.
- g. Information concerning how the English writing system contributes to reading failure.
- h. Dyslexia identification procedures.
- i. Dyslexia intervention strategies and how to implement them.
- j. Dyslexia progress monitoring and progress monitoring systems.

Dyslexia In the Classroom

What Every Teacher Needs to Know



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	2
About IDA	2
What is Dyslexia?	3
Signs and Symptoms of Dyslexia	4
Social and Emotional Connection	5
Classroom Strategies, Tips, and Tools	7
Multisensory Structured Language Teaching	11
Screening, Evaluation, and Diagnosis	12
Additional Resources and Further Reading	14
References	15

© Copyright 2017, International Dyslexia Association (IDA).

IDA encourages the reproduction and distribution of this resource kit. If portions of the text are cited, appropriate reference must be made. This may not be reprinted for the purpose of resale.

40 York Road, 4th Floor • Baltimore, MD 21204

Info@DyslexiaIDA.org

www.DyslexiaIDA.org

INTRODUCTION

The degree of difficulty a child with dyslexia has with reading, spelling, and/or speaking varies from person to person due to inherited differences in brain development, as well as the type of teaching the person receives. The brain is normal, often very “intelligent,” but with strengths in areas other than the language area.

This “difference” goes undetected until the person finds difficulty when learning to read and write. Each individual with dyslexia is unique, but the multisensory approach is flexible enough to serve a wide range of ages and learning differences. A multisensory approach can be valuable to many; to the dyslexic child it is essential. The expertise of the teacher is the key.

The intent of this toolkit is to provide classroom teachers with basic information about dyslexia, dispel some of the myths and misconception surrounding it and be a resource that will increase their capacity to ensure the success of the diverse group of learners in their classrooms.

ABOUT IDA

The International Dyslexia Association (IDA) was founded in 1949 as The Orton Society to honor and further the work and passion of Dr. Samuel Torrey Orton. IDA serves individuals with dyslexia, their families, and professionals in the field. We have more than 9,000 members, 42 branches throughout the United States and Canada and have 27 global partners in 23 countries. Together we are working to help those with and affected by dyslexia.

We believe that all individuals have the right to achieve their potential, that individual learning abilities can be strengthened, and that social, educational and barriers to language acquisition and use must be removed.

IDA actively promotes effective teaching approaches and related clinical educational intervention strategies for individuals with dyslexia. We support and encourage interdisciplinary research. We facilitate the exploration of the causes and early identification of dyslexia and are committed to the responsible and wide dissemination of research and evidence based knowledge.

The purpose of IDA is to pursue and provide the most comprehensive range of information and services that address the full scope of dyslexia and related difficulties in learning to read and write...in a way that creates hope, possibility, and partnership, so that every individual has the opportunity to lead a productive and fulfilling life, and society benefits from the resource that is liberated.

WHAT IS DYSLEXIA?

The formal definition of dyslexia is:

Dyslexia is a specific learning disability that is neurological in origin. It is characterized by difficulties with accurate and/or fluent word recognition and by poor spelling and decoding abilities. These difficulties typically result from a deficit in the phonological component of language that is often unexpected in relation to other cognitive abilities and the provision of effective classroom instruction. Secondary consequences may include problems in reading comprehension and reduced reading experience that can impede the growth of vocabulary and background knowledge.

But what does that mean exactly?

Dyslexia is a language-based learning disability. Dyslexia refers to a cluster of symptoms, which result in people having difficulties with specific language skills, particularly reading. Students with dyslexia usually experience difficulties with other language skills, such as spelling, writing, and pronouncing words. Dyslexia affects individuals throughout their lives; however, its impact can change at different stages in a person's life. It is referred to as a learning disability because dyslexia can make it very difficult for a student to succeed academically in the typical instructional environment, and in its more severe forms, will qualify a student for special education, special accommodations, and/or extra support services.

What causes dyslexia?

The exact causes of dyslexia are still not completely clear, but anatomical and brain imagery studies show differences in the way the brain of a person with dyslexia develops and functions. Moreover, most people with dyslexia have been found to have problems with identifying the separate speech sounds within a word and/or learning how letters represent those sounds, a key factor in their reading difficulties. Dyslexia is not due to either lack of intelligence or desire to learn; with appropriate teaching methods, students with dyslexia can learn successfully.

Dyslexia occurs in people of all backgrounds and intellectual levels. People with dyslexia can be very bright. They are often capable or even gifted in areas such as art, computer science, design, drama, electronics, math, mechanics, music, physics, sales, and sports. In addition, dyslexia runs in families; having a parent or sibling with dyslexia increases the probability that you will also have dyslexia. For some people, their dyslexia is identified early in their lives, but for others, their dyslexia goes unidentified until they get older.

What are the effects of dyslexia?

The impact that dyslexia has is different for each person and depends on the severity of the condition and the timeliness and effectiveness of instruction or remediation. The core difficulty involves word recognition and reading fluency, spelling, and writing. Some individuals with dyslexia manage to learn early reading and spelling tasks, especially with excellent instruction, but later experience their most debilitating problems when more complex language skills are required, such as grammar, understanding textbook material, and writing essays.

People with dyslexia can also have problems with spoken language, even after they have been exposed to excellent language models in their homes and high quality language instruction in school. They may find it difficult to express

themselves clearly, or to fully comprehend what others mean when they speak. Such language problems are often difficult to recognize, but they can lead to major problems in school, in the workplace, and in relating to other people. The effects of dyslexia reach well beyond the classroom.

What misconceptions exist regarding dyslexia?

It is equally important to understand what dyslexia isn't. There are great misconceptions and myths about dyslexia which make it that much more difficult for someone with dyslexia to receive help and generally be understood.

It is a myth that individuals with dyslexia "read backwards." Their spelling can look quite jumbled at times not because they read or see words backwards, but because students have trouble remembering letter symbols for sounds and letter patterns in words.

Dyslexia is not a disease and, therefore, there is no cure. With proper diagnosis, appropriate and timely instruction, hard work, and support from family, teachers, friends, and others, individuals who have dyslexia can succeed in school and later as adults.

Individuals with dyslexia do not have a lower level of intelligence. In fact, more often than not, the complete opposite is true.

SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS OF DYSLEXIA

It is crucial to be able to recognize the signs of symptoms of dyslexia. The earlier a child is evaluated, the sooner he or she can obtain the appropriate instruction and accommodations he or she needs to succeed in school.

General problems experienced by people with dyslexia include the following:

- Learning to speak
- Learning letters and their sounds
- Organizing written and spoken language
- Memorizing number facts
- Reading quickly enough to comprehend
- Keeping up with and comprehending longer reading assignments
- Spelling
- Learning a foreign language
- Correctly doing math operations

Some specific signs for elementary aged children may include:

- Difficulty with remembering simple sequences such as counting to 20, naming the days of the week, or reciting the alphabet
- Difficulty understanding the rhyming of words, such as knowing that *fat* rhymes with *cat*
- Trouble recognizing words that begin with the same sound (for example, that *bird*, *baby*", and *big* all start with *b*)
- Pronunciation difficulties

- Trouble easily clapping hands to the rhythm of a song
- Difficulty with word retrieval (frequently uses words like “stuff” and “that thing” rather than specific words to name objects)
- Trouble remembering names of places and people
- Difficulty remembering spoken directions

It is important to note that not all students who have difficulties with these skills have dyslexia. Formal testing of reading, language, and writing skills is the only way to confirm a diagnosis of suspected dyslexia.

An individual can have more than one learning or behavioral disability. For example, in various studies as many as 30% of those diagnosed with a learning or reading difference have also been diagnosed with ADHD. Although disabilities may co-occur, one is not the cause of the other.

SOCIAL AND EMOTIONAL CONNECTION

Samuel T. Orton, M.D., was one of the first researchers to describe the emotional aspects of dyslexia. According to his research, the majority of preschoolers who were later diagnosed as having dyslexia are happy and well adjusted. Their emotional problems begin to develop when early reading instruction does not match their learning needs. Over the years, the frustration mounts as classmates surpass the student with dyslexia in reading skills.

Stress and Anxiety

Jerome J. Schultz’s informative IDA fact sheet “[The Dyslexia-Stress-Anxiety Connection](#)” is a must read for those wanting guidance on understanding the relationship between dyslexia and emotional and social difficulties, as well as the implications for academic performance and social interactions. Dr. Schultz explains his DE-STRESS model in a step-by-step guide for addressing stress, anxiety, and dyslexia.

Stress and anxiety increase when we’re in situations over which we have little or no control (e.g., a car going off the road, tripping on the stairs, reading in public). All people, young and old, can experience overwhelming stress and exhibit signs of anxiety, but children, adolescents, and adults with dyslexia are particularly vulnerable. That’s because many individuals do not fully understand the nature of their learning disability, and as a result, tend to blame themselves for their own difficulties. Years of self-doubt and self-recrimination may erode a person’s self-esteem, making them less able to tolerate the challenges of school, work, or social interactions and more stressed and anxious.

Many individuals with dyslexia have experienced years of frustration and limited success, despite countless hours spent in special programs or working with specialists. Their progress may have been agonizingly slow and frustrating, rendering them emotionally fragile and vulnerable. Some have been subjected to excessive pressure to succeed (or excel) without the proper support or training. Others have been continuously compared to siblings, classmates, or co-workers, making them embarrassed, cautious, and defensive.

Individuals with dyslexia may have learned that being in the company of others places them at risk for making public mistakes and the inevitable negative reactions that may ensue. It makes sense, then, that many people

with dyslexia have become withdrawn, sought the company of younger people, or become social isolates. (Schultz, 2013, p. 2)

This fact sheet can be found on the IDA website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org.

Self-Image

Dyslexia can also affect a person's self-image. Students with dyslexia often end up feeling "dumb" and less capable than they actually are. After experiencing a great deal of stress due to academic problems, a student may become discouraged about continuing in school.

If children succeed in school, they will develop positive feelings about themselves and believe that they can succeed in life. If children meet failure and frustration, they learn that they are inferior to others, and that their effort makes very little difference. Instead of feeling powerful and productive, they learn that their environment controls them. They feel powerless and incompetent.

Researchers have learned that when typical learners succeed, they credit their own efforts for their success. When they fail, they tell themselves to try harder. However, when learners with dyslexia succeed, they are likely to attribute their success to luck. When they fail, they simply see themselves as stupid.

Research also suggests that these feelings of inferiority develop by the age of 10. After this age, it becomes extremely difficult to help the child develop a positive self-image. This is a powerful argument for early intervention.

Depression

Depression is also a frequent complication in dyslexia. Depressed children and adolescents often have different symptoms than do depressed adults. The depressed child is unlikely to be lethargic or to talk about feeling sad. Instead he or she may become more active or misbehave to cover up the painful feelings. In the case of masked depression, the child may not seem obviously unhappy. However, both children and adults who are depressed tend to have three similar characteristics:

- They tend to have negative thoughts about themselves, that is, a negative self- image.
- They tend to view the world negatively. They are less likely to enjoy the positive experiences in life. This makes it difficult for them to have fun.
- Most depressed youngsters have great trouble imagining anything positive about the future. The depressed child with dyslexia not only experiences great pain in his present experiences, but also foresees a life of continuing failure.

So how can you help?

Children are more successful when early in their lives someone has been extremely supportive and encouraging, and when they have found an area in which they can succeed. Teachers can create an incredible support system by:

- Listening to children's feelings. Anxiety, anger and depression can be daily companions for children with dyslexia. However, their language problems often make it difficult for them to express their feelings. Therefore, adults must help them learn to talk about their feelings.
- Rewarding effort, not just "the product." For students with dyslexia, grades should be less important than progress.

- When confronting unacceptable behavior, do not inadvertently discourage the child with dyslexia. Words such as “lazy” or “incorrigible” can seriously damage the child’s self-image.
- Helping students set realistic goals for themselves. Many students with dyslexia set perfectionistic and unattainable goals. By helping the child set an attainable goal, teachers can change the cycle of failure.

Above all, it is critical that school personnel, parents, and outside professionals working with the child with dyslexia communicate on an on-going basis to provide the support needed, so he or she can become a happy and successful student, and eventually, a happy and successful adult.

CLASSROOM STRATEGIES, TIPS AND TOOLS

Schools can implement academic accommodations and modifications to help students with dyslexia succeed. For example, a student with dyslexia can be given extra time to complete tasks, help with taking notes, and work assignments that are modified appropriately. Teachers can give taped tests or allow students with dyslexia to use alternative means of assessment. Students can benefit from listening to books on tape and using text reading and word processing computer programs.

Teaching students with dyslexia across settings is challenging. Both general education and special education teachers seek accommodations that foster the learning and management of a class of heterogeneous learners. It is important to identify accommodations that are reasonable to ask of teachers in all classroom settings. The following accommodations provide a framework for helping students with learning problems achieve in general education and special education classrooms. They are organized according to accommodations involving materials, interactive instruction, and student performance.

Accommodations Involving Materials

Students spend a large portion of the school day interacting with materials. Most instructional materials give teachers few activities or directions for teaching a large class of students who learn at different rates and in various ways. This section provides material accommodations that enhance the learning of diverse students. Frequently, paraprofessionals, volunteers, and students can help develop and implement various accommodations. Material accommodations include the following:

- **Clarify or simplify written directions.** Some directions are written in paragraph form and contain many units of information. These can be overwhelming to some students. The teacher can help by underlining or highlighting the significant parts of the directions. Rewriting the directions is often helpful.
- **Present a small amount of work.** The teacher can tear pages from workbooks and materials to present small assignments to students who are anxious about the amount of work to be done. This technique prevents students from examining an entire workbook, text, or material and becoming discouraged by the amount of work.

- **Block out extraneous stimuli.** If a student is easily distracted by visual stimuli on a full worksheet or page, a blank sheet of paper can be used to cover sections of the page not being worked on at the time. Also, line markers can be used to aid reading, and windows can be used to display individual math problems. Additionally, using larger font sizes and increasing spacing can help separate sections.
- **Highlight essential information.** If an adolescent can read a regular textbook but has difficulty finding the essential information, the teacher can mark this information with a highlight pen.
- **Use a placeholder in consumable material.** In consumable materials in which students progress sequentially (such as workbooks), the student can make a diagonal cut across the lower right-hand corner of the pages as they are completed. With all the completed pages cut, the student and teacher can readily locate the next page that needs to be corrected or completed.
- **Provide additional practice activities.** Some materials do not provide enough practice activities for students with learning problems to acquire mastery on selected skills. Teachers then must supplement the material with practice activities. Recommended practice exercises include instructional games, peer teaching activities, self-correcting materials, computer software programs, and additional worksheets.
- **Provide a glossary in content areas.** Students often benefit from a glossary of content-related terms.
- **Develop reading guides.** A reading guide helps the reader understand the main ideas and sort out the numerous details related to the main ideas. A reading guide can be developed paragraph-by-paragraph, page-by-page, or section-by-section.
- **Use an audio recording device.** Directions, stories, and specific lessons can be recorded. The student can replay the tape to clarify understanding of directions or concepts. Also, to improve reading skills, the student can read the printed words silently as they are presented on tape.
- **Use of assistive technology.** Assistive technology products such as tablets, electronic readers/dictionaries/spellers, text to speech programs, audio books, and more can be very useful tools.

Accommodations Involving Interactive Instruction

The task of gaining students' attention and engaging them for a period of time requires many teaching and managing skills. Teaching and interactions should provide successful learning experiences for each student. Some accommodations to enhance successful interactive instructional activities are:

- **Use explicit teaching procedures.** Many commercial materials do not cue teachers to use explicit teaching procedures; thus, the teacher often must adapt a material to include these procedures. Teachers can include explicit teaching steps within their lessons (i.e., present an advanced organizer, demonstrate the skill, provide guided practice, offer corrective feedback, set up independent practice, monitor practice, and review).
- **Repeat directions.** Students who have difficulty following directions are often helped by asking them to repeat the directions in their own words. The student can repeat the directions to a peer when the teacher is unavailable. If directions contain several steps, break down the directions into subsets. Simplify directions by presenting only one portion at a time and by writing each portion on the chalkboard as well

as stating it orally. When using written directions, be sure that students are able to read and understand the words as well as comprehend the meaning of sentences.

- **Maintain daily routines.** Many students with learning problems need the structure of daily routines to know and do what is expected.
- **Provide a copy of lesson notes.** The teacher can give a copy of lesson notes to students who have difficulty taking notes during presentations.
- **Provide students with a graphic organizer.** An outline, chart, or blank web can be given to students to fill in during presentations. This helps students listen for key information and see the relationships among concepts and related information.
- **Use step-by-step instruction.** New or difficult information can be presented in small sequential steps. This helps learners with limited prior knowledge who need explicit or part-to-whole instruction.
- **Simultaneously combine verbal and visual information.** Verbal information can be provided with visual displays (e.g., on an overhead or handout).
- **Write key points or words on the chalkboard/whiteboard.** Prior to a presentation, the teacher can write new vocabulary words and key points on the chalkboard/whiteboard.
- **Use balanced presentations and activities.** An effort should be made to balance oral presentations with visual information and participatory activities. Also, there should be a balance between large group, small group, and individual activities.
- **Use mnemonic instruction.** Mnemonic devices can be used to help students remember key information or steps in a learning strategy.
- **Emphasize daily review.** Daily review of previous learning or lessons can help students connect new information with prior knowledge.

Accommodations Involving Student Performance

Students vary significantly in their ability to respond in different modes. For example, students vary in their ability to give oral presentations; participate in discussions; write letters and numbers; write paragraphs; draw objects; spell; work in noisy or cluttered settings; and read, write, or speak at a fast pace. Moreover, students vary in their ability to process information presented in visual or auditory formats. The following accommodation involving mode of reception and expression can be used to enhance students' performance:

- **Change response mode.** For students who have difficulty with fine motor responses (such as handwriting), the response mode can be changed to underlining, selecting from multiple choices, sorting, or marking. Students with fine motor problems can be given extra space for writing answers on worksheets or can be allowed to respond on individual chalkboards/whiteboards.
- **Provide an outline of the lesson.** An outline enables some students to follow the lesson successfully and make appropriate notes. Moreover, an outline helps students to see the organization of the material and ask timely questions.

- **Encourage use of graphic organizers.** A graphic organizer involves organizing material into a visual format. To develop a graphic organizer, the student can list the topic on the first line, collect and divide information into major headings, list all information relating to major headings on index cards, organize information into major areas, place information under appropriate subheadings, and place information into the organizer format.
- **Place students close to the teacher.** Students with attention problems can be seated close to the teacher, chalkboard/whiteboard, or work area and away from distracting sounds, materials, or objects.
- **Encourage use of assignment books or calendars.** Students can use calendars to record assignment due dates, list school related activities, record test dates, and schedule timelines for schoolwork. Students should set aside a special section in an assignment book or calendar for recording homework assignments.
- **Have students turn lined paper vertically for math.** Lined paper can be turned vertically to help students keep numbers in appropriate columns while computing math problems.
- **Use cues to denote important items.** Asterisks or bullets can denote questions or activities that count heavily in evaluation. This helps students spend time appropriately during tests or assignments.
- **Design hierarchical worksheets.** The teacher can design worksheets with problems arranged from easiest to hardest. Early success helps students begin to work.
- **Allow use of instructional aids.** Students can be provided with letter and number strips to help them write correctly. Number lines, counters, calculators, and other assistive technology can help students compute once they understand the mathematical operations.
- **Display work samples.** Samples of completed assignments can be displayed to help students realize expectations and plan accordingly.
- **Use peer-mediated learning.** The teacher can pair peers of different ability levels to review their notes, study for a test, read aloud to each other, write stories, or conduct laboratory experiments. Also, a partner can read math problems for students with reading problems to solve.
- **Use flexible work times.** Students who work slowly can be given additional time to complete written assignments.
- **Provide additional practice.** Students require different amounts of practice to master skills or content. Many students with learning problems need additional practice to learn at a fluency level.
- **Use assignment substitutions or adjustments.** Students can be allowed to complete projects instead of oral reports or vice versa. Also, tests can be given in oral or written format.

EFFECTIVE READING INSTRUCTION

Early identification and treatment is the key to helping individuals with dyslexia achieve in school and in life. Most people with dyslexia need help from a teacher, tutor, or therapist specially trained in a structured literacy approach. Many individuals with dyslexia need one-on-one help so that they can move forward at their own pace. In addition, students with dyslexia often need a great deal of structured practice and immediate, corrective feedback to develop automatic word recognition skills. For students with dyslexia, it is helpful if their outside academic therapists work closely with classroom teachers.

What is a Structured Literacy approach?

Structured Literacy instruction is marked by several elements:

Phonology. Phonology is the study of sound structure of spoken words and is a critical element of *Structured Language* instruction. Phonological awareness includes rhyming, counting words in spoken sentence, and clapping syllables in spoken words. An important aspect of phonological awareness is phonemic awareness or the ability to segment words into their component sounds, which are called phonemes. A phoneme is the smallest unit of sound in a given language that can be recognized as being distinct from other sounds in the language. For example, the word *cap* has three phonemes (/k/, /ă/, /p/), and the word *clasp* has five phonemes (/k/, /l/, /ă/, /s/, /p/).

Sound-Symbol Association. Once students have developed the awareness of phonemes of spoken language, they must learn how to map the phonemes to symbols or printed letters. Sound-symbol association must be taught and mastered in two directions: visual to auditory (reading) and auditory to visual (spelling). Additionally, students must master the blending of sounds and letters into words as well as the segmenting of whole words into the individual sounds. The instruction of sound-symbol associations is often referred to as phonics. Although phonics is a component of *Structured Literacy*, it is embedded within a rich and deep language context.

Syllable Instruction. A syllable is a unit of oral or written language with one vowel sound. Instruction includes teaching of the six basic syllable types in the English language: closed, vowel-consonant-*e*, open, consonant-*le*, *r*-controlled, and vowel pair. Knowledge of syllable types is an important organizing idea. By knowing the syllable type, the reader can better determine the sound of the vowel in the syllable. Syllable division rules heighten the reader's awareness of where a long, unfamiliar word may be divided for great accuracy in reading the word.

Morphology. A morpheme is the smallest unit of meaning in the language. The *Structured Literacy* curriculum includes the study of base words, roots, prefixes, and suffixes. The word *instructor*, for example, contains the root *struct*, which means *to build*, the prefix *in*, which means *in* or *into*, and the suffix *or*, which means *one who*. An instructor is one who builds knowledge in his or her students.

Syntax. Syntax is the set of principles that dictate the sequence and function of words in a sentence in order to convey meaning. This includes grammar, sentence variation, and the mechanics of language.

Semantics. Semantics is that aspect of language concerned with meaning. The curriculum (from the beginning) must include instruction in the comprehension of written language.

Structured Literacy is distinctive in the principles that guide how critical elements are taught:

Systematic and Cumulative. *Structured Literacy* instruction is systematic and cumulative. Systematic means that the organization of material follows the logical order of the language. The sequence must begin with the easiest and most basic concepts and elements and progress methodically to more difficult concepts and elements. Cumulative means each step must be based on concepts previously learned.

Explicit Instruction. *Structured Literacy* instruction requires the deliberate teaching of all concepts with continuous student-teacher interaction. It is not assumed that students will naturally deduce these concepts on their own.

Diagnostic Teaching. The teacher must be adept at individualized instruction. That is instruction that meets a student's needs. The instruction is based on careful and continuous assessment, both informally (for example, observation) and formally (for example, with standardized measures). The content presented must be mastered to the degree of automaticity. Automaticity is critical to freeing all the student's attention and cognitive resources for comprehension and expression.

SCREENING, EVALUATION, AND DIAGNOSIS

Early identification and intervention with students who show the warning signs of dyslexia are critically important for better outcomes later on. Researchers have identified the specific skill weaknesses that predict later reading difficulties, making early testing, identification, and remediation possible. For most children, problems can be remediated with programs at the kindergarten and first-grade levels that take about 30-45 minutes per day.

Before second grade, it is more important to focus an evaluation on the precursors of reading development. Measures of language skills, phonological awareness, memory, and rapid naming are more suggestive of being at-risk for dyslexia among young children than are measures of word reading, decoding, and spelling. Therefore, measures of phonological awareness, memory, and rapid naming are typically included in Kindergarten and beginning first grade screening tests that can identify children who need targeted intervention to improve these critical skills so these children can meet grade-level benchmarks.

How is dyslexia diagnosed?

A comprehensive evaluation typically includes intellectual and academic achievement testing, as well as an assessment of the critical underlying language skills that are closely linked to dyslexia. These include receptive (listening) and expressive language skills, phonological skills including phonemic awareness, and also a student's ability to rapidly name letters and names. A student's ability to read lists of words in isolation, as well as words in context, should also be assessed. If a profile emerges that is characteristic of readers with dyslexia, an individualized intervention plan should be developed, which should include appropriate accommodations, such as extended time. The testing can be conducted by trained school or outside specialists.

Why is evaluation important?

An evaluation is the process of gathering information to identify the factors contributing to a student's difficulty with learning to read and spell. First, information is gathered from parents and teachers to understand development and the educational opportunities that have been provided. Then, tests are given to identify strengths and weaknesses that lead to a diagnosis and a tentative road map for intervention. Conclusions and recommendations are developed and reported.

When should a child be evaluated?

It is possible to identify potential reading problems in young children even before the problems turn into reading failure. Screenings should be used with all children in a school, beginning in kindergarten, to locate those students who

are “at risk” for reading difficulty. Preventive intervention should begin immediately, even if dyslexia is suspected. How the child responds to supplementary instruction will help determine if special education services are justified and necessary.

There are numerous types of screeners; one simple one we recommend is the Colorado Learning Disabilities Questionnaire – Reading Subscale (CLDQ-R) School Age Screener. If the risk factors are present, teachers should follow the protocols set-up within their school’s policies to meet with parents regarding further evaluation.

The Colorado Learning Disabilities Questionnaire – Reading Subscale (CLDQ-R) is a screening tool designed to measure risk of reading disability (i.e. dyslexia) in school-age children (Willcutt et al., 2011). Normative scores for this questionnaire were developed based on parent-reports of their 6-to-18- year- old children, as well as actual reading testing of these children. Willcutt, et al. (2011) found that the CLDQ-R is reliable and valid. It is important to note that the CLDQ-R is only a screener and does not constitute a formal evaluation or diagnosis.

School Age Dyslexia Screener – CLDQ-R

Please read each statement and decide how well it describes the child. Mark your answer by circling the appropriate number. Please do not leave any statement unmarked.

	Never/ not at all	Rarely/ a little	Sometimes	Frequently/ quite a bit	Always/ a great deal
1. Has difficulty with spelling	1	2	3	4	5
2. Has/had difficulty learning letter names	1	2	3	4	5
3. Has/had difficulty learning phonics (sounding out words)	1	2	3	4	5
4. Reads slowly	1	2	3	4	5
5. Reads below grade level	1	2	3	4	5
6. Requires extra help in school because of problems in reading and spelling	1	2	3	4	5

Scoring Instructions:

Add up the circled numbers and record that as the Total Score _____

The following cutoffs apply:

- Total Score <16 = Minimal Risk
- Total Score 16-21 = Moderate Risk
- Total Score >21 = Significant Risk

See below for details for each Risk Group.

Minimal Risk: The score indicates that there is little in the child’s developmental history to indicate that he/she is at risk for a reading disability (dyslexia). However, if there are concerns about the child’s reading progress, an evaluation with the school or a licensed child psychologist is recommended to examine the nature of these difficulties.

Moderate Risk: The score indicates that there are features of the child’s developmental history (e.g. difficulty learning letters, required extra reading help) that may be consistent with a reading disability (dyslexia). Reading disability constitutes a very common learning disability, affecting approximately 5% of the United States population. Reading disability is characterized by slow or effortful reading, difficulty sounding out new words, and problems with spelling. If there are concerns about the child’s reading progress, an evaluation with the school or a licensed child psychologist is recommended to examine the nature of these difficulties.

Significant Risk: The score indicates that there are several features of your child’s developmental history (e.g. difficulty learning letters, required extra reading help) that are consistent with a reading disability (dyslexia). Reading disability constitutes a very common learning disability, affecting approximately 5-10% of the United States population. Reading disability is characterized by slow or effortful reading, difficulty sounding out new words, and problems with spelling. The results of this questionnaire indicate that your child may be experiencing some or all of those symptoms. A formal evaluation with the school or a licensed child psychologist is strongly recommended, so that your child can get the reading support he/she needs, if appropriate.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES AND FURTHER INFORMATION

There are a great many resources available for a deeper understanding of dyslexia, co-morbidities, treatments as well as specific topics including neuroscience, comprehension, fluency, other learning disabilities, response to intervention and much more.

We encourage you to go to our website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org and explore a variety of information including:

- [IDA Fact Sheets](#)
- [FAQs](#)
- [IDA Provider Directory](#)
- [IDA Knowledge and Practice Standards for Teachers of Reading](#)

There are numerous publications and books available, including those listed in the Recommended Reading for Professionals Fact Sheet, but here are some useful resources to get you started:

Moats, L., & Dakin, K. (2008). *Basic facts about dyslexia and other reading problems*. Baltimore, MD: International Dyslexia Association.

Moats, L., Dakin, K., & Joshi, R. M. (2012). *Expert perspectives on interventions for reading. A collection of best-practice articles from the International Dyslexia Association*. Baltimore, MD: International Dyslexia Association.

Tridas, E. (2007). *From ABC to ADHD: what parents should know about dyslexia and attention problems*. Baltimore, MD: International Dyslexia Association.

Further, there are extraordinary workshops, conferences, and trainings available all over the United States and Canada hosted by IDA branches; conferences all over the world hosted by our Global Partners; and the Annual IDA Conference hosted by IDA Headquarters. Please go to www.DyslexiaIDA.org to find the next event near you!

REFERENCES

FAQs: is there a cure for dyslexia? (n.d.). Retrieved October 1, 2013, from IDA website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org.

FAQs: what are the signs of dyslexia? (n.d.). Retrieved October 1, 2013, from IDA website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org.

FAQs: are attention–deficit disorder (ADD) and attention deficit hyperactive disorder (ADHD) learning disabilities? (n.d.). Retrieved October 1, 2013, from IDA website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org.

Mercer, C. (2004). *Accommodating students with dyslexia in all classroom settings*.

Moats, L., & Dakin, K. (2008). Moats, L., & Dakin, K. (2008). *Dyslexia basics, 1-3*. Retrieved October 1, 2013, from IDA website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org.

Moats, L., & Dakin, K. (2008). *Basic facts about dyslexia and other reading problems*. Baltimore, MD: International Dyslexia Association.

School age dyslexia screener (2013, October) Retrieved October 1, 2013, from IDA website, <http://www.DyslexiaIDA.org>

Shultz, J. (2013). *The dyslexia-stress-anxiety connection, 1-4*. Retrieved October 1, 2013, from IDA website, www.DyslexiaIDA.org.

Appendix C

Components of Structured Literacy Intervention Checklist

This rubric is designed to help educators evaluate intervention programs. It identifies the necessary components of structured literacy interventions and will help to identify areas that may need to be supplemented with additional evidence-based instructional practices.

Intervention Name: _____

Date: _____

Does the intervention program include all components of structured literacy instruction?

Yes	No	Phonological Awareness
		Segmenting Sentences Into Words
		Syllable Segmentation and Blending
		Phonemic Awareness - Segmentation, Blending & Manipulation

Yes	No	Sound-Symbol Association
		Sounds & Letters Connected for Both Reading (visual) and Spelling (auditory) to Mastery
		Blending of Sounds & Letters into Words to Mastery
		Segmenting Whole Words into Individual Sounds to Mastery

Yes	No	Syllable Instruction
		6 Basic Syllable Types: Identify the Sound of the Vowel Within a Syllable
		Syllable Division Rules: Enhance Accuracy for Reading Unknown Words to Mastery

Yes	No	Orthography
		Focus on Spelling Patterns and Rules as well as Word Meanings, Parts of Speech and Word Origins
		Explicit Instruction in Letter Formation

Yes	No	Morphology
		Study of Base Words, Roots, Prefixes and Suffixes

Yes	No	Grammar/Syntax
		Focus on Grammar & Sentence Variations
		Study of Mechanics of Language & Function of Word Order to Convey Meaning

YES	NO	Vocabulary
		Words Taught Explicitly in Multiple Settings
		Synonyms, Antonyms and Multiple Meanings Integrated into Discussions
		Essential Features with Visual Representations for Concepts Identified During Discussions
		Idioms Integrated When Appropriate to Situations

Yes	No	Fluency
		Attention to Accuracy, Rate and Prosody
		Use of Normative Data to Ensure Adequate Progress

Yes	No	Reading Comprehension
		Process of Deriving Meaning & Establishing a Coherent Mental Model of the Text's Content
		Attention to Integration of Ideas Within Text and Between Texts
		Use of Text Structure to Accomplish a Goal (i.e., explaining main idea or recalling details)
		Purposeful Teaching of Strategies Related to the Text Structure with Opportunities to Apply in New Situations
		Access Background Knowledge & Identify Language in Text that May be Problematic (indirect meanings, figurative language, complex sentences, pronoun referents, new vocabulary)
		Use of Graphic Organizers

Yes	No	Delivery of Instruction
		Training Standards and Fidelity of Implementation Measures Defined
		Explicit Instruction is Provided One Language Concept at a Time
		Sequence of Instruction is Systematic and Cumulative
		Provides Multisensory Instruction
		Includes Assessments for Diagnostic Teaching (Pre/Post Tests, Mastery Checks)
		Establishes Guidelines for Student Grouping (Size, Homogenous Needs)

Notes	

Appendix D

Kansas Technical Assistance System Network (TASN) provides technical assistance to support school districts' systematic implementation of evidence-based practices.

[Login \(/users/sign_in\)](/users/sign_in)

Kansas LETRS Training Cadre

- **Kansas LETRS Training Cadre Home (/letrs/kansas-letrs-training-cadre)**
- Trainer List & Contact Information (/letrs/kansas-letrs-trainer-contact-information)
- Training Registration (/letrs/kansas-letrs-training)



LETRS® (Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling) professional development responds to the need for high-quality literacy educators. Developed by literacy expert Louisa C. Moats, Ed.D. LETRS provides the deep foundational knowledge necessary to understand how students learn to read, write, and spell—and why some of them struggle.

Why LETRS? Because teachers, not programs – teach students to read. • LETRS is based in real-world experience and the science of reading • LETRS training prepares educators to diagnose why some students fail to learn to read, spell or write. • LETRS training provides strategies and activities that can be implemented immediately. • LETRS increases effectiveness of any core or supplemental program.

For more information about LETRS, including the research base, go to [www.LETRS.com](http://www.lettrs.com/) (<http://www.lettrs.com/>)

LETRS and the Common Core State Standards (http://ksdetasn.org/cms/images/_utahstate_media/documents/LETRS/LETRS_Common_Core_Standards.pdf)

About the Training LETRS is divided into 12 Core Modules:

- **Foundations:** LETRS Foundations is a teacher's first step into the deeper, more comprehensive content of the core modules. LETRS

Foundations is professional development for teachers of reading who are beginning to implement the components and principles of scientifically based reading instruction. This first module introduces the science of how children learn to read; the importance of oral language, phoneme awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension in reading instruction; and how to put LETRS concepts to work in the classroom.

- **Module 1:** Overview of the science of teaching reading, why students struggle, where instructional emphasis needs to be placed (appropriate for teachers of all grades)
- **Modules 2,3:** Phonology; Phonics; and Spelling (appropriate for teachers of all grades—emphasis on foundational skills)
- **Modules 4-6:** Vocabulary; Comprehension; and Fluency (appropriate for teachers of all grades)
- **Modules 7-9:** Systematic Phonics Instruction; Assessment; and Writing Instruction (appropriate for teachers of all grades)
- **Module 10:** Multisyllabic Words and Advanced Decoding (appropriate for teachers of upper elementary and beyond)
- **Teaching English Learners:** Teaching English Learners addresses the key principles of effective instruction for ELLs. This manual provides a framework for understanding critical components of ELL reading instruction that link directly to observable instructional behaviors in the classroom. Teaching English Learners is for instructional leaders who have a responsibility to establish policies involving effective instruction for ELLs.
- **LETRS for Early Childhood Educators:** Designed specifically for early childhood educators, this interactive module prepares teachers to provide instruction that is proven to build a strong foundation in early literacy skills. The program explores language and literacy in ways that create a deeper understanding of these important processes. This module is for educators working with preschool and kindergarten children or early elementary children who are experiencing difficulty with the literacy process.
- **ParaReading:** ParaReading prepares today’s paraprofessionals for the increasing demands placed on them in the reading classroom. To be effective, paraeducators and reading tutors need training that incorporates current, scientifically based research on student learning. This is the single best resource available to any potential tutor who wants to become an integral part of the reading instruction process. Based on key LETRS concepts, ParaReading not only increases the effectiveness of reading tutors, but also grounds them in a proven, research-based system of teaching.

Training in modules may occur separately (1-2 days per module), or up to three modules may be provided in approximately 4-5 days.

Training in Modules 1-10 is available now. Training in the English Learners Module and the Early childhood Module is also available. Check back often for more information regarding training opportunities!

Training may be accessed only through certified Kansas LETRS trainers. Trainers are affiliated with service centers and districts around the state. For more information about how to access training, contact a trainer in your area, or contact Kevin Davis, TASN Coordination at kevin.davis@usu.edu (mailto:kevin.davis@usu.edu)

Funded by KSDE Special Education and Title Services (SETS). (<http://www.ksde.org/Agency/DivisionofLearningServices/EarlyChildhoodSpecialEducationandTitleServices/SpecialEducation.aspx>)

Administered by the Pittsburg State University, Center for Technical Assistance for Excellence in Special Education (TAESE) and Keystone Learning Services.

Copyright © 2015. All Rights Reserved